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ABSTRACT

This pilot project investigated agricultural-related safety and health issues among Hmong refugees working on family-operated farms. Novel approaches, namely participatory rural appraisal and photovoice, were used to conduct a qualitative occupational hazard assessment with a group of Hmong farmers in Washington State. These two methods were useful in gathering participants’ own perspectives about priority concerns. Several identified problems were related to musculoskeletal disorders, handling and operating heavy machinery, heat and cold stress, respiratory exposures, pest management, and socioeconomic and language concerns. Findings from this study provide insight into the work-related challenges that Hmong refugee farmers encounter and can serve as a basis for occupational health professionals to develop interventions to assist this underserved group. [Workplace Health Saf 2014;62(5):178-185.]

S

ince 1975, more than 3 million refugees have been resettled in the United States (Office of Refugee Resettlement, n.d.). At least 55,000 refugees have been admitted to the United States annually for the past 5 years and nearly 75,000 refugees were admitted in 2009, the highest number in more than a decade (Martin & Yankay, 2013). From 1975 to 2006, more than 115,000 Hmong refugees have been resettled in the United States (Office of Refugee Resettlement, 2008). As Hmong refugees settled and raised families in the United States, the Hmong population in the United States increased to more than 260,000 according to the 2010 U.S. Census (Pfeifer, Sullivan, Yang, & Yang, 2012).

The Hmong are an ethnic group originally from the mountainous regions of southern China and Southeast Asia. They practiced subsistence agriculture for centuries in their homeland, where it was considered a highly respected profession (Miyares, 1997). Hmong refugees have carried on this tradition in the United States (Minato, 2004), often seeking small-scale, family-operated farming opportunities for income generation, household consumption, and social activity. For example, Hmong refugees reported that they farm and garden because of the economic benefit, for pleasure, to grow familiar foods and herbal medicines, to socialize with other Hmong, and to participate in a familiar occupation (Rasmussen, Schermann, Shutske, & Olson, 2003).

Hmong refugees have been supported in small-scale farming through governmental and non-governmental organization programs. For example, in Washington State city and county government agencies along with faith-based organizations collaborated in the mid-1980s to
form and operate the Indo-Chinese Farm Project. This project trained newly arriving Hmong to adapt their farming and marketing methods to the Pacific Northwest region (Evans, 2004). Another program through a major public university currently assists Hmong farmers with crop management and marketing strategies. Nationally, the Office of Refugee Resettlement of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services together with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and other non-governmental groups provide opportunities for refugee families working in agriculture to partner with government and non-government organizations to promote sustainable income and community food security.

Although refugee farmers may be skilled in agriculture in their countries of origin, they face distinct challenges when farming in the United States; they must farm unfamiliar crops in new environments, experience difficulty in accessing land and water, and learn to use new supplies, equipment, and pest management techniques (Tubene, White, Rose, & Burnie, n.d.). Agricultural safety and health issues also emerge as refugees learn to grow crops using production systems that differ from those to which they are accustomed. They work with new crops, tools and machinery, irrigation methods, and pesticides. Also, because they typically operate small, independent family farms, they may lack the resources to effectively control agricultural-related hazards and exposures. These risks are particularly notable and reflect the high rates of work-related injuries, illnesses (Bureau of Labor Statistics, U.S. Department of Labor, 2012), and fatalities for the agricultural industry. For example, in 2012, agriculture recorded the highest fatal injury rate of any industry (Bureau of Labor Statistics, U.S. Department of Labor, 2013).

This pilot project focused on Hmong refugee farmers, an understudied, hard-to-reach population of agricultural workers. The goal was to identify agricultural safety and health issues among Hmong farmers in Washington State using novel, participatory approaches for work-related hazard assessment and identification. The assessment approaches employed for this project were participatory rural appraisal and photovoice (both described below).

Of note, this effort also responded to specific goals outlined by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, and the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health’s (CDC-NIOSH) National Agricultural, Forestry, and Fishing Agenda (2008). This agenda lists two goals that are particularly relevant for Hmong refugee farmers: reducing deleterious health and safety outcomes for workers who are more susceptible to injury or illness due to circumstances that limit options for safeguarding their own safety and health, and improving data collection and existing databases to provide information on safety and health disparities among vulnerable workers by seeking new data collection mechanisms where gaps exist (CDC-NIOSH, 2008). And, in its review of Agriculture, Forestry, and Fishing Research at CDC-NIOSH, the National Research Council and Institute of Medicine (2008) identified “changes in demographics of the workforce” (p. 184) as a high priority, new, and emerging research area. Accordingly, conducting an assessment of agricultural safety and health issues that Hmong refugee farmers experience aligns well with these priorities.

**METHODS**

Data were collected from March 2012 to January 2013, which included a full growing season from March through December of 2012. Given the population of focus and associated challenges (i.e., cultural differences, limited English proficiency, and recent arrival to the United States), participatory assessment approaches were viewed as more effective than conventional research methods (e.g., survey). Participatory approaches encourage study participants to provide data they view as meaningful and have their perspective more prominently represented in study procedures.

Also, before recruiting participants and initiating data collection, a community advisory board of cultural industry professionals, researchers, and advocates with prior experience working with Hmong refugee farmers was assembled. This community advisory board provided advice on culturally appropriate and acceptable ways to interact with the Hmong community in the context of research and also provided feedback on sharing findings with the community.

All procedures were approved by the University of Washington Human Subjects Division.

**Study Participant Recruitment**

Participants were recruited from a Hmong refugee farming network in the western Washington State region via direct contact by the project’s Hmong community liaison (e.g., at community meetings, farming workshops, or at local farmers’ markets) or by direct mail advertisement flyer. Individuals were eligible to participate if they were at least 21 years of age, of ethnic Hmong descent,
participants' farms using industrial hygiene approaches (Neitzel, Krenz, & de Castro, in press).

The start of the growing season workshop was designed to gain insight into types of farming activities and tasks, when tasks are performed throughout the calendar year, safety and health hazards and exposures, and work practices that mitigate the risk of injury or illness. For example, using pictorial cards in a matrix-style grid, participants matched commonly grown vegetable and flower crops to specific farming tasks (Figure 1). Another activity involved participants completing a matrix-style calendar to identify a schedule of farming activities for specific vegetable and flower crops. Specific hazards (e.g., pesticide use and heavy lifting) for each farming activity were also listed, if relevant. The session concluded with participant storytelling about work-related injury or illness experiences and ways farmers protect themselves. Participants were instructed on how to complete the photovoice component of the project.

**Photovoice.** To capture illustrative examples of farm safety and health hazards, photovoice methodology was used. Photovoice allows community members themselves to (1) record and reflect on their community’s strengths and concerns, (2) promote critical dialogue and knowledge about community issues through group discussion of photographs, and (3) reach policy- and decision-makers to catalyze change (Wang, 1999; Wang & Burris, 1997; Wang & Redwood-Jones, 2001). Photovoice was originally developed in the late 1990s with village women in rural China as part of a women’s health promotion program (Wang & Burris, 1997). Since then, it has been increasingly applied to assess a variety of public health issues in domestic and international contexts (Catalani & Minkler, 2010; Cooper & Yarbrough, 2010; Dumbrill, 2009; Flum, Siqueira, DeCaro, & Redway, 2010; Hergenrather, Rhodes, Cowan, Bardhoshi, & Pula, 2009; Lopez, Eng, Randall-David, & Robinson, 2005; Rhodes et al., 2009; Schwartz, Sable, Dannerbeck, & Campbell, 2007; Wilkin & Liamputtong, 2010). At the conclusion of the “start of the growing season” workshop, participants were given digital cameras (which they were allowed to keep as another incentive for participating) and were asked to photograph scenes throughout the growing season that depicted representations of farm work safety and health hazards. They were trained on photovoice methods, including the purpose of taking photographs, basic photography techniques, and camera operation and protection. Additionally, participants were instructed on ethical considerations (e.g., asking permiss-
sion before photographing individuals and avoiding photographing faces, incriminating circumstances, minors, or identified addresses or automobile license plates) (Wang & Redwood-Jones, 2001). Participants were informed that collected photographs would be shared and discussed in a group setting during the end of the growing season workshop. A research team member scheduled visits with participants periodically throughout the growing season to retrieve digital photographs that had been taken.

A session at the end of the growing season included a group analysis of participant-taken photographs, a three-stage process that included (1) selecting (choosing photographs that best represented participants’ concerns and assets), (2) contextualizing (telling stories about what the photographs meant), and (3) codifying (identifying themes, issues, and theories) (Wang & Burris, 1997). Participants who took photographs selected those that they wanted to share and they were viewed by the group as a slide show. For each photograph, the participant-photographers explained the context of the photograph and why they chose to take that particular photograph. Descriptions of and reactions to photographs were documented. Also, a slideshow presentation of observations and data collected from farm walk-throughs was delivered and a group discussion to prioritize identified hazards followed.

RESULTS

Based on information culled from participatory rural appraisal workshop and photovoice discussions, several agricultural safety and health priorities were identified. Participants distinctly recognized some hazards as clear threats to health and well-being. Encouraging participants to relay detailed descriptions of farm work tasks and their photographs facilitated discourse and prompted realizations about additional safety and health concerns.

Musculoskeletal Disorders

Participants often talked about farm work tasks that put them at risk for musculoskeletal problems. They identified tasks that generally required difficult, awkward postures and positioning, such as bending for long periods, pulling crops out by hand, using tools such as knives to harvest vegetables and flowers, and washing crops. Weeding was noted as a frequent activity throughout much of the growing season that required stooping and bending. Participants noted that transporting crops to farmers’ markets required heavy lifting. For example, one participant mentioned that he typically transports 5-gallon buckets filled with water and cut flowers to farmers’ markets, with each bucket weighing approximately 30 pounds. Compounding this, participants remarked that, after being already fatigued from a long day of working in the fields, they have to prepare vegetables and flowers for loading during nighttime hours and rise very early the next morning to deliver and set up sales stands at farmers’ markets (Figure 2).

A common health complaint among both older and younger participants was acute and chronic back pain, which they readily associated with the physical demands of farm work, including tasks mentioned above and lifting and operating heavy machinery. One participant described farming as back-breaking work with no chance to rest. Also, participants mentioned that making flower bouquets to sell at farmers’ markets can lead to hand, wrist, and finger pain.

Heavy Machinery

Participants reported physical injuries from using heavy machinery. Many injuries occurred when loading and unloading rototillers from vehicles (e.g., fingers and hands wedged between van roofs and rototiller bar throttles). Operating rototillers also posed a risk for injury. One participant described an incident when a rototiller traveled over a bump in the field and jumped, knocking out a bystander’s teeth. One participant described frequently lifting plow attachments for a tractor as heavy, dangerous work. Another participant described frequently removing mud from a tractor tiller attachment as dangerous because the tractor sometimes rolled back or the attachment slipped and fell on the individual cleaning it (Figure 3). Also, operating heavy machinery (e.g., rototillers) increased noise exposure.
Heat and Cold Stress
Throughout the growing season, participants experienced weather extremes, exposing them to both hot and cold temperatures. For example, preparation for the growing season typically begins in late February or early March, which are cold and rainy months in Washington State. During the growing season, particularly from June through early September, participants noted working all day in heat with sun exposure.

Respiratory Exposure
As a result of rototilling, participants complained of dust exposure that irritated eyes and affected breathing, leading to respiratory problems that impaired their ability to work. Some participants also noted allergic reactions to pollen and, in particular, bee stings, both of which made necessary work tasks difficult to complete.

Pest Management Activities
All participants stated that weed control is a constant difficult challenge. They noted that weed growth was persistent and invasive, threatening loss of vegetable and flower crops. One participant mentioned using a commercial-brand weed killer, but several stated they did not feel comfortable using chemicals (commercial or industrial) because they lacked education on the proper use of herbicides and pesticides. As such, as noted above, they spent much time and effort pulling weeds by hand. One participant shared a photograph of his crops infested with wireworms. Participants also discussed slugs as major pests that required slug bait (the active ingredient typically metaldehyde or iron phosphate, in pellet or meal form) for control. Birds were also noted as pests because they eat newly planted seeds.

Socioeconomic and Language Concerns
Interestingly, a common discourse about health and well-being centered around socioeconomic and language concerns. It was stated that most Hmong farmers cannot afford to purchase land for farming, so they must lease plots, often marginal to poor in quality and prone to flooding during high precipitation months in winter and spring. When cultivating the land in preparation for planting, participants complained about the mud. One participant described renting a tractor that was lodged in the mud for more than a week (Figure 4). This lost time resulted in additional expense and loss of income. Another talked about the challenge of accessing their farm land when roads flooded, again leading to loss of income. Participants expressed a desire to use cover crops (e.g., crimson clover and fava beans), which improve soil quality by preventing leaching of soil nutrients and growth of main crops. However, they noted that cover crop seeds were an added expense they could not afford.

DISCUSSION
This article describes a pilot study to investigate an agricultural safety and health assessment of Hmong refugee farmers. Given the profile of this population, both as a group that is growing in number and as vulnerable workers, a need to prioritize concerns related to these farmers’ work was identified. The principal safety and health hazards identified through this project include musculoskeletal disorders, injuries from heavy machinery (e.g., rototillers and tractors), heat and cold stress,
respiratory exposures, and activities associated with pest management. In reviewing the literature, no such effort to explicitly investigate agricultural safety and health problems among Hmong refugees has been reported. As such, no prior formal reports characterize occupational injury and illness risk with this population that could serve as a comparison.

A project conducted by Schermann et al. (2007) involved a farm work safety education project with Hmong refugees in Minnesota and Wisconsin. Based on the oral tradition of Hmong culture, folktales were developed to convey safety lessons related to farm work tasks. Three principal safety topics were included in these folktales: rototiller use, knife and hand tool use, and tasks related to selling at farmers’ markets. Additional safety and health issues integrated into the folktales included heat exposure, fatigue, lifting, repetitive motion, personal hygiene, and supervision of children. The issues identified in the current study align with those used in the folktales educational intervention. Drawing from this consistency, future research and interventions may consider emphasizing these same areas to address agricultural safety and health for Hmong refugee farmers.

Additional concerns raised by participants touched on socioeconomic and cultural concerns. This finding was unexpected, given the project’s focus on traditional agricultural safety and health. However, participants characterized these concerns within their overall day-to-day lives as farmers. For example, only being able to lease (and not own) land plots that are marginal in quality, unaffordable new or maintained equipment, and inadequate income selling at farmers’ markets all contribute to persistent lower socioeconomic status in the participants’ views. In turn, these circumstances perpetuate the challenge of improving their quality of life. Moreover, being newcomers to the United States, participants were sometimes unable to adequately communicate with English speakers, negatively impacting their farms and incomes. These situations call attention to their need for continued assistance from governmental agencies (local and federal) and community-based organizations to provide support services and programming for Hmong refugees.

An overarching goal of this project was to appraise the utility of participatory approaches to investigate safety and health issues with this worker population. As an assessment method, employing both the participatory rural appraisal format and photovoice activity were conducive to gaining participant perspective about what they viewed as safety and health priorities. These two participatory approaches transcended language and cultural differences between the participants and investigators. True to intent, participants drove the direction of the project’s data collection, and ultimate findings. For example, through the participatory rural appraisal workshops, the investigators provided a framework and farming schedule to identify safety and health hazards. However, participants directed what should be emphasized and modified in the list of priorities. Also, photovoice offered a channel through which participants could convey their own personal opinions, understandings, and explanations about farming. Other research teams planning to work with unfamiliar or understudied populations might consider the value of using participatory approaches to ensure that project findings more authentically reflect the study population’s views and experiences. Of note, as a complement to these participatory approaches, traditional worksite walk-through inspections were conducted on participants’ farms. Findings from these walkthroughs are reported elsewhere (Neitzel, Krenz, & de Castro, in press). Collectively, these various assessment methods offered a unique approach that triangulated data sources to gain a rich, multidimensional perspective of agricultural safety and health issues among Hmong refugee farmers, which may guide the development of possible intervention projects in the future.

LIMITATIONS

This study should be viewed in light of the following limitations. Communication with participants was constrained by language differences. Only one member of the research team (community liaison) spoke Hmong, so data collected through participatory rural appraisal workshops relied on his translation. It is possible that interpretations bi-directionally may not have fully captured stories, explanations, expressions, cultural idioms, and technical terminology. Significant effort was made to compensate for this, including repeating and reflecting participants’ statements and diligent note-taking during participatory rural appraisal workshops, as well as verifying study findings with the team’s Hmong-speaking community liaison.

Also, having only 11 individuals participate in the project limited the number of perspectives offered. Accordingly, study findings may not be representative or generalizable to the broader population of Hmong refugee farmers. Being a pilot study, a modest number of participants (10 females and 10 males) was targeted. Despite the recruitment efforts employed, only 9 females and 2 males participated. This result may have been due to low interest or unfamiliarity with participating in research-type projects. It is possible that alternative recruitment activities may have resulted in more farmers participating. However, consultation with the project’s advisory board and the team’s community liaison produced the recruitment activities chosen.

Participants expressed that taking photographs during the growing season competed with their need to tend to farming tasks. Some indicated carrying a camera while they worked was difficult or that they did not want the camera to get dirty. As such, taking photographs may have had varying degrees of priority among participants. This situation limited the number of photographs from which to choose for the photovoice exercise. Despite this, participants did contribute interesting images that facilitated rich group discussions.

The principal members of the investigative team had not previously worked directly with Hmong refugees in a research context; they were relatively unfamiliar with Hmong culture, which may have hindered establishing rapport with study participants. Given the history of
Hmong refugees (tied to war and political persecution) and the challenges associated with migrating to the United States, it is possible that study procedures and activities, as well as the investigative team’s Westernized style of interacting with participants, may not have been sensitive to Hmong values, practices, and world view. Accordingly, during the planning and design of the research project, the research team prioritized the consideration and accommodation of cultural differences, along with a mindfulness of historical events experienced by Hmong refugees.

IMPLICATIONS FOR PRACTICE
Occupational health professionals can use participatory approaches as an alternative or adjunct to conventional workplace safety and health assessment methods. Participatory approaches can yield insightful information that better represents workers’ perspectives about their own working conditions. These approaches may be particularly useful when working with unfamiliar, underrepresented worker populations. As with this project, participatory assessment methods can overcome barriers (i.e., cultural and linguistic) between the investigative team and study participants.

Extending this point, occupational health professionals must acknowledge the increasing diversity of the U.S. population and hence workforce. Occupational health professionals must pay more attention to underserved, minority groups because their unique needs may be overlooked. Refugees like the Hmong may not be employed in traditional workplaces, but rather in small, family-operated enterprises. This likelihood may put them at disparate risk for occupational-related injury and illness given the absence of safety and health expertise accessible to them.

In this vein, limited occupational health research has been conducted with Hmong or other refugee groups, despite historic and continued entry into the United States. Occupational health professionals can lend their expertise and attend to the paucity of information known about refugee workers. Given the growing refugee population, a mounting need exists to investigate occupational safety and health issues with this not-so-hidden population and special consideration of how cultural factors contribute to or influence occupational health among refugees would be of significance.

Finally, this project points to the need for occupational health professionals to develop interventions to assist small-scale enterprises (independent, family-operated farms). In particular, education and training is sorely needed across the spectrum of safety and health problems. Additionally, even finding innovative ways to help small enterprises institute practical, lower cost hazard control measures and reduce occupational exposure risk would be beneficial.

CONCLUSION
The growing presence of Hmong refugees, as well as other ethnic groups, presents a unique opportunity to consider occupational safety and health needs among this group of underserved workers. With small-scale farming as a principal pursuit among Hmong refugees, the exposure to agricultural work hazards poses a significant threat to their health and well-being. This finding, in turn, contributes to disparate risk for work-related injury and illness. As a step toward addressing this problem, using novel participatory safety and health assessments can be fruitful, especially because worker perspectives are central and paramount. Accordingly, solutions that reflect and align with workers’ priorities can be developed.
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